IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.156 OF 2007
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.47 of 2007

Ulhas Shashikant Tilekar ...Applicant

vs.

Baburao @ Laxman Vittal Habib ...Respondent

Mr.A.R.Dhavale for the Applicant
Mr.A.P.Vanarse for the Respondent.

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : JUNE 4, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocates for the parties. This is an Application by a landlord in disposed of Revision Application. The Revision Application was preferred by the original tenant who suffered a decree for eviction. The Revision Applicant made a statement that he was not pressing the revision Application on merits. Considering the prayer made by the Revision Applicant and the facts and circumstances of the case, time to vacate the suit premises has been granted to the Revision Applicant till 31st May 2008 subject to the Revision applicant filing a usual undertaking. The prayer in this application is for reducing the time granted in favour of the Revision Applicant to vacate the suit premises.

2. I have considered the submissions of the Advocate for the Applicant. The Revision Applicant has not pressed the

Revision Application on merits. As a result, the decree for possession has attained finality. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, time to vacate the suit premises has been granted till 31st May 2008. The Applicant in this Application is sufficiently protected as the tenant has been directed to file an undertaking of himself and his family adult members to vacate the suit premises within the time granted by this court. No case is made out for reducing the time to vacate the suit premises. Application is rejected.

JUDGE